男性排尿症狀的評估與治療 Clinical Evaluation and Diagnosis of Male LUTS

<u>江元宏醫師</u> 花蓮慈濟醫院泌尿部



「下尿路症狀・LUTS (Lower urinary tract symptoms)」 在台灣盛行率



Men: 60% Women: 57%

J Formos Med Assoc. 2019 Jan;118(1 Pt 1):170

Etiology of Male LUTS



EAU guidelines: Management of Non-neurogenic Male Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms

Etiology of Male (refractory) LUTS



BOO (69.6%)

- BND: 35.9% (25.0% in male LUTS)
- BPO: 46.8% (32.6% in male LUTS)
- PRES: 17.1% (11.9% in male LUTS)

Bladder dysfunction (30.4%)

- DO: 59.9% (18.2% in male LUTS)
- HSB: 8.6% (2.6% in male LUTS)
- DHIC: 18.8% (5.7% in male LUTS)
- DU: 12.8% (3.9% in male LUTS)

Both Age & Prostate volume: affect the distribution of male voiding LUTD



Urol Sci. 2016; 27:21

Both Age & Prostate volume: affect the distribution of male storage LUTD



Urol Sci. 2016; 27:21

Diagnostic Evaluation of Male LUTS

Medical history

- **PE** (Physical examination), **DRE** (digital rectal examination), **PSA** (prostate specific antigen)
- Urinalysis
- Symptom score questionnaires
- **Frequency volume chart and bladder diaries**
- PVR (Post-void residual urine) and Uroflowmetry
- Prostate Imaging/ Cystourethroscopy
- Renal function measurement/ UUT image
- Urodynamics

Prostate-specific antigen (PSA)

Prediction of prostate volume (TPV)

- Diagnosis of TPV> 30ml: > 1.5ng/mL (PPV 78%)
- Diagnosis of TPV> 40ml: > 1.6, > 2.0, > 2.3 ng/mL for men with BPH in their 50s, 60s, 70s (Sen. 65-70%, Spe. 70%)
- Probability of prostate cancer

Prediction of BPO-related outcomes

- Predict risk of AUR and BPE-related surgery
- Free PSA (elevated): also predict clinical BPH
- Olmsted County study: PSA> 1.4ng/mL- risk of treatment during F/U
- MTOPS study: PSA > 1.1ng/mL- risk of AUR

International Prostate Symptom Score (IPSS)



 8 items: 7 symptom questions + 1 QoL (quality of life) question

- O point: asymptomatic
- **1-7** points: mildly symptomatic
- 8-19: moderately symptomatic
- **20-35** points: severely symptomatic
- **4 v**oiding items, 3 **s**torage items
- During assessment, during and/or after treatment

IPSS V/S (Voiding/ Storage subscore ratio): useful method to differentiate male LUTD



	Failure to LUTD	voiding	Failure to storage LUTD			
	ROC area	95% CI	ROC area	95%	CI	
IPSS-T	0.58	0.51-0.65	0.43	0.36-	-0.50	
IPSS-V	0.72	0.66-0.78	0.71	0.65	-0.77	
IPSS-S	0.67	0.60-0.74	0.68	0.61	-0.74	
IPSS-V/S	0.81	0.75-0.87	0.80	0.75	-0.86	
TPV	0.74	0.65–0.79	0.72	0.66	-0.78	
Qmax	0.64	0.57-0.71	0.63	0.56-	-0.70	
PVR	0.63	0.57-0.70	0.63	0.56-	-0.70	
	Sensitivit	y Spec	ificity	PPV	NP\	
	(%)	(%)		(%)	(%)	
IPSS-V/	S					
≥ 0.6	85.7	45.7		61.0	76.3	
≥ 0.8	81.8	61.4		67.8	77.2	
≥ 1.0	80.2	69.3		72.1	77.9	
≥ 1.2	72.2	85.0		82.7	75.5	
≥ 1.4	65.9	89.0		85.4	72.0	

Int J Clin Pract. 2012 Feb;66(2):139

IPSS V/S: help to guide the treatment for male LUTS

Table 3 Comparisons of baseline parameters between patients with $GRA \ge 1$ and $GRA < 1$ at 1 month in both groups								
	IPSS-V/S > 1 (<i>n</i> = 27 Doxazosin Tx	76.7%		IPSS-V/S ≤ 1 (n = 1 Tolterodine Tx	16) 78.1%	78.1%		
	GRA ≥ 1 (<i>n</i> = 218)	GRA < 1 (<i>n</i> = 61)	p-value	GRA ≥ 1 (<i>n</i> = 89)	GRA < 1 (<i>n</i> = 27)	p-value		
Age	65.9 ± 10.6	64.5 ± 10.2	0.353	68.8 ± 11.3	67.3 ± 11.1	0.555		
IPSS-T	17.9 ± 6.51	18.4 ± 6.36	0.628	14.9 ± 5.49	14.6 ± 5.22	0.774		
IPSS-V	12.3 ± 4.40	12.6 ± 4.30	0.641	5.40 ± 3.59	5.00 ± 3.27	0.602		
IPSS-S	5.66 ± 3.09	5.82 ± 3.13	0.723	9.49 ± 3.13	9.56 ± 2.81	0.928		
TPV	40.0 ± 18.4	35.0 ± 17.7	0.062	39.8 ± 24.2	39.9 ± 20.5	0.986		
TZI	0.35 ± 0.14	0.30 ± 0.13	0.037	0.34 ± 0.13	0.31 ± 0.14	0.226		
PSA	2.49 ± 2.33	1.90 ± 2.02	0.072	2.86 ± 2.56	1.95 ± 2.14	0.095		
Qmax	11.4 ± 6.14	11.5 ± 5.61	0.880	14.0 ± 8.75	11.1 ± 5.67	0.046		
Volume	238.9 ± 140.7	256.6 ± 181.6	0.499	217.2 ± 167.9	207.1 ± 178.1	0.791		
PVR	56.4 ± 59.5	45.7 ± 51.2	0.215	49.6 ± 60.1	48.6 ± 67.6	0.943		

OAB symptom score (OABSS)

、下症狀;	a set of a second second second second		
選出一個	大約的出現頻率為何? 固與最近一週內您的狀態最接近的	選項,並	在分數的數字上打
問題	症狀	分數	頻率
Freq	uency	0	7次以下
1	您早上起床後到睡前為止, 大約要小便幾次?	1	8-14次
		2	15次以上
Noct	uria	0	0次
2	您晚上就寢後到早上起床	1	1次
2	為止,大約要醒來小便幾 - ~ 2	2	2次
	. ·	3	3次以上
Urgency		0	無
onge o	您多常有突然想小便此種 感覺難以延遲(難以憋住)?	1	每週少於1次
		2	每週1次以上
3		3	每天1次左右
		4	每天2-4次
		5	每天5次以上
		0	無
001	您多常有因尿急難以延遲 (難以憋住)而漏尿?	1	每週少於1次
		2	每週1次以上
4		3	每天1次左右
		4	每天2-4次
		5	每天5次以上
	合計分數		4

● 診斷為OAB的條件

✓ 第三題(突然想要小便)的急迫感
 分數 ≥ 2 +
 ✓ OABSS總分 ≥3

● OAB症狀的嚴重程度

✓ 輕微: OABSS總分 ≤ 5
✓ 中度: OABSS總分 6 –11
✓ 嚴重: OABSS總分 ≥12

Homma et al. Urology 2006; 68(2): 318-23 2012 實用尿路動力學 Ch.6

症狀差異: OAB (膀胱過動症) VS BPS (間質性膀胱炎)



seen in BPS.

尿路動力學差異: OAB (膀胱過動症) VS BPS (間質性膀胱炎)



Comr

Campbell-Walsh Urology 10th edition. Ch 66

Frequency Volume Chart (FVC) & Bladder Diary

時間間隔	第一天 日期: 年 月 日			第二天 日期: 年 月 日			第三天 日期: 年 月 日					
	正常排 (抑尿量) 電升	尿急感 (v)	尿失禁 (v)	喝水量 (毫升)	正常排尿 (抑尿量) 量升	尿急感 (v)	尿失禁 (v)	喝水量 (毫升)	正常排尿 (頻感量) ^{優升}	尿急感 (∨)	尿失禁 (喝水量 (毫升)
00 (午夜)-01	50, 50	v		100		v	v	150				
01-02					v				100	v.v		50
02-03	v 或100	×			100	×				í	v	
03-04			v	50						v		
00 (午夜)-01								1				
01-02												
02-03			-									
03-04												
04-05												
05-06												
06(早)-07				1								
07-08												
08-09												
09-10									1 1			
10-11												
11-12												
12 (年) -13								-				
13-14												
14-15												
15-16												
16-17												
17-18						-						
18 (晚) -19				1								
19-20												
20-21												
21-22												
22-23												
23-24												
起床時間		83	Ŧ	分		時	分	0		時	分	ē.
就讓時間		B	F	分		時	公	i -		時	4	8

Reduce recall bias

3-day, 7-day

To assess LUTS with

a prominent storage component or nocturia

Frequency Volume Chart (FVC) & Bladder Diary

排尿型態	臨床相關病症及描述
Type 1:排尿量正常、頻率正常 Type 2:排尿量正常、頻率增高	一個正常的24小時尿量正常的患者 24小時尿量增加(多尿; polyuria)的患者,起因於水量攝取 增加;亦有可能起因於尿崩症(diabetes insipidus)或控制不
Type 3a:日間和夜間固定排尿量減少	良的糖尿病 <u>膀胱內病變</u> ,例如間質性膀胱炎 (interstitial cystitis) 或是原 位癌 (carcinoma in situ)
Type 3b:日間和夜間不固定排尿量減少 Type 4:清晨排尿量正常、不固定排尿 量減少	膀胱過動症 心因性頻尿。病人夜間睡眠良好,起床時排尿量正常或增加,但日間排尿量少且尿量不固定。應力性尿失禁患者,因
Type 5:夜間多尿 (nocturnal polyuria)	擔心漏尿而強迫自己常去排空尿液,亦有可能出現此種排尿型態 此類病人日間排尿頻率及尿量均正常,但夜間頻率增加,且 在睡眠的8小時中,排尿量超過24小時排尿量的33%。起因於鬱血性心臟衰竭、抗利尿激素分泌異常或是心房利鈉素 (atrial natriuretic hormone)分泌

Pathophysiology of Nocturia



Evaluation of Nocturia



Treatment of Nocturia



TUA Guideline: Nocturia



Uroflowmetry

- **Non-invasive** urodynamic test.
 - Qmax
 - Flow pattern



Diagnostic accuracy for BOO
Qmax < 10ml/sec: Sen. 47%, Spe. 70%, PPV 70%
Qmax <15ml/sec: Sen. 82%, PPV 67%

Increased specificity by repeat tests

Uroflowmetry

Siroky nomogram Corrected Qmax = Qmax / √voided volume (other: Qmax / √ (voided volume +PVR))



Within subject variation

Preferable condition:
 voided volume > 150mL



Interpretation of Uroflowmetry Q (flow) = **P** (pressure) / **R** (resistance)

P: intravesical pressure

- Detrusor tone & strength (contractility)
- Bladder wall compliance
- Abdominal pressure

R: bladder outlet resistance

- Bladder neck (BN obstruction/ dysfunction)
- Prostate (BPO)
- External urethral sphincter (EUS) (DSD, dysfunctional voiding; ISD)
- Urethra (stricture)

Flow patterns in Uroflowmetry



Bell-shape (normal)



Tower shape (DO/ OAB)



Obstructive flow (BOO)



Constrictive/ plateau flow (Urethral stricture)

Flow patterns in Uroflowmetry



 Staccato/ fluctuated flow (Weak or unsustained detrusor contractility; Dysfunctional voiding)



Intermittent / interrupted flow (Detrusor underactivity; Dysfunctional voiding)

Bladder sonography & PVR

High PVR: indicate BOO and/ or poor detrusor function
 PVR > 50ml for BOO: PPV 63%, NPV 52%
 Voiding efficiency (VE) : voided volume / (voided volume + PVR)
 VE < 0.33: indicate detrusor underactivity

Bladder wall thickness (BWT)/ Detrusor wall thickness (DWT)



- Diagnostic accuracy for BOO
 - BWT > 5mm (under 150ml)
 - DWT > 2mm (under 250ml): PPV 94%, Spe. 95%

Prostate Imaging

- Trans-abdominal US, trans-rectal US (TRUS), CT, MRI
- Prostate size
- Prostate shape
- Presumed circle area ration (PCAR)
 - > 0.8 for BPO (Sen. 77%, Spe. 75%)



Urology. 1997 Oct;50(4):548

Intravesical prostatic protrusion (IPP)

- ◊ Gr.I, II, III: <5mm, 5-10mm, >10mm
- Correlate with BPO (PPV 94%, NPV 79%)



BJU Int. 2003 Mar;91(4):371

Urethrocystoscopy

Recommended in "history of microscopic or gross hematuria, urethral stricture, or bladder cancer, who present with LUTS"



- **Detection of bladder trabeculation**:
 - normal Qmax in 25% of patients without bladder trabeculation,

21% of mild trabeculation, and

12% in marked trabeculation

Detection of bladder diverticulum

Renal function measurement/ UUT Imaging

Summary of evidence		LE		
Men with LUTS are not at increased risk for upper tract malignancy or other abnormalities when				
compared to the overall population.				
Ultrasound can be used for the evaluation of men with large PVR, haematuria, or a history of				
urolithiasis.				
Recommendation	Strength	rating		
Perform ultrasound of the upper urinary tract in men with LUTS. Weak				

Different clinical characteristics between bladder outlet-related and bladder-related LUTD

	Bladder outlet related LUTD	Bladder related LUTD	Total	P value
Patient number	167	131	298	
Age	71.8±9.3	73.8±8.4	72.7±9.0	0.065
IPSS-T	16.3±7.7	14.0±7.7	15.3±7.8	0.011
IPSS-V	10.1±6.0	6.5±5.4	8.5±6.0	< 0.001
IPSS-S	6.2±3.6	7.6±3.8	6.8±3.8	0.001
IPSS- V/S	2.28±2.25	0.9±0.88	1.67±1.91	< 0.001
TPV (ml)	48.9±27.3	43.2±23.2	46.4±25.7	0.058
TZI (%)	43.6±16.1	39.1±15.0	41.6±15.8	0.017
VUDS parameters				
CBC (ml)	313.5±132.9	285.8±146.8	301.3±139.6	0.089
Pdet (cmH2O)	53.1±24.3	33.0±15.1	44.3±23.0	< 0.001
Qmax (ml/s)	9.2±4.5	12.3±6.9	10.6±5.9	< 0.001
PVR (ml)	57.7±81.9	30.0±55.8	45.5±72.8	0.001

CBC: cystomeric bladder capacity, IPSS: International Prostate Symptom Score, IPSS-T: total IPSS score, IPSS-V: IPSS voiding subscore, IPSS-S: IPSS storage subscore, IPSS-V/S: IPSS voiding to storage subscores ratio, LUTD: lower urinary tract dysfunction, Pdet: detrusor pressure, PVR: postvoid residual volume, Qmax: maximum flow rate.

Recommendation of UDS/ VUDS in Male LUTS

Recommendations	Strength rating
Perform pressure-flow studies (PFS) only in individual patients for specific indications prior	Weak
to invasive treatment or when evaluation of the underlying pathophysiology of LUTS is	
warranted.	
Perform PFS in men who have had previous unsuccessful (invasive) treatment for LUTS.	Weak
Perform PFS in men considering invasive treatment who cannot void > 150 mL.	Weak
Perform PFS when considering surgery in men with bothersome predominantly voiding	Weak
LUTS and Q _{max} > 10 mL/s.	
Perform PFS when considering invasive therapy in men with bothersome, predominantly	Weak
voiding LUTS with a post void residual > 300 mL.	
Perform PFS when considering invasive treatment in men with bothersome, predominantly	Weak
voiding LUTS aged > 80 years.	
Perform PFS when considering invasive treatment in men with bothersome, predominantly	Weak
voiding LUTS aged < 50 years.	

Basic Interpretation of UDS/ VUDS

- Bladder outlet obstruction index (BOOI) /
 Abrams-Griffiths (AG) number
 - = Pdet@Qmax 2x Qmax
 - $\diamond \geq 40$: obstructed
 - 20-40: equivocal
 - < 20: non-obstructed</p>

- **Bladder contractility index (BCI)**
 - = Pdet@Qmax + 5x Qmax
 - > 150: strong
 - 100-150: normal
 - < 100: weak</p>





Bladder related LUTD in VUDS















Q

8 Qvol

101

pves

pabd

76

44 pdet

33

14 C. Vol

378



Bladder-outlet related LUTD in VUDS



Assessment Algorithm of Male LUTS



Treatment Algorithm of Male LUTS

(without indications for surgery)





Take Home Message Clinical Evaluation & Diagnosis of Male LUTS

- Etiology from systemic, UUT, or LUT;
 Exams from non-invasive to invasive
- **Advantage and limitations** of all non-invasive & invasive exams
- **Careful interpretation** of the results based on UDS concepts